

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED FEB 14 2008
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 2/21/08

RA PTAH TAHARQA ALLEN
LEONARD WALTERS, ET AL V. THE CITY OF NEW YORK

07 Civ. 8682 (RPP)

January.9.2008

BY HAND DELIVERY

HONOR:
KEVIN N FOX

MEMO ENDORSED

I am repending to a requeast by the defendant for a an eniargement of time accoroding to the pro se manual pg65, the defendant should ask the plaintiff for a extension of time they did not, also the defendant states they need more time to conduct a investigation concerning this matter, on the day of this incident i called I.A. unit it is I.A. that conducts an investigation from june 1, 2007 the investigation stared, why does counsel need to do there own investigation, any information concerning this matter is forwarded to counsel if they request it. So now they asking for more time to find the facts. the two months that counsel request can prejudice my case due to the fact that the NYC POLICE DEPT NOTORIOUS HISTORY AN inherit rabid racism and comtempt, disrepect of blacks.

I believe this request for time is an attempt to hasten justice. since counsel has ask for time, I to respectfully ask for (rule 6 (B). ENLARGMENT) the time limit has not expired (RULE 6; (J) (SMITH V. SENTRY INSURANCE 674, f supp. 1459 (ND GA. 1987) I request the extention do to the fact I HAVE ATTEMPT TO FIND, the true names of the officers named in my suit, there first names, I have not been suceessful (PSA 5) has not giving me there names the CCRB has not giving the names of these officers. also i sent an interrogatories with my summons and complaint to ONE POLICE PLAZA and I have not receive a reponce from the defendant, futher more the marshall service attempted to serve the officers unsuccessfully. (copies of service and the interrogatory attached) therefore I again respectfully request an enlargement of time to ascertain the true first names of the defendants. and to amend my complaint (PRO SE MANUAL pg 59)

As to this request by counsel (RULE 11) only papers served of file with the court does not apply to all papers sent to the court, such as a letter. As to WILLIAMS V. THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ET AL N.Y.D 2D. 800.486 N.Y. 2D (918 (1985) that case was not a constitutional matter

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the court grant the within request. thank you your HONOR for your consideration.

DECEIVED
SEE TYPEWRITTEN MEMO
ENDORSEMENT ATTACHED 008
PRO SE OFFICE

REPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

Ra PTAH TAHARQA ALLEN
RA PTAH TAHARQA ALLEN

cc. LAW DEPARTMENT, SARAN. B. EVANS
100, CHURCH, ST NY. NY. 1007

LEONARD WALTERS PRO SE

Appleson granted. Plaintiff's time & venue the undersigned defendant is in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, May 2, 2008. So ordered. 2/29/08

Case: Ra Ptah Taharqa Allen, et ano. v. City of New York
Index No. 07 Civ. 8682 (RPP)

MEMO ENDORSEMENT READS:

Application granted.

Plaintiffs' time to serve the individual defendants is extended, pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to May 2, 2008.

So ordered.

Robert P. Patterson, Jr., U.S.D.J., 2/20/08

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RA PTAH TARHAKQA ALLEN

LEON WALTERS ET AL

(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s)/petitioner(s).)

- against -

N.Y.C POLICE DEPT

N.Y.C. CORPORATION COUNSEL

(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the defendant(s)/respondent(s).)

07 Civ. 8682 () ()

AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE

I, Ra PTAH Tarhaka Allen, declare under penalty of perjury that I have
(name)

served a copy of the attached LETTER
(document you are serving)

upon SARAN B. EVANS whose address is 100 CHURCH ST
(name of person served)

N.Y. N.Y 1007

(where you served document)

by MAIL
(how you served document: For example - personal delivery, mail, overnight express, etc.)

Dated: N.Y N.Y, N.Y. S
(town/city) (state)

JAN 9, 2008
(month) (day) (year)

Ra PTAH Tarhaka Allen
Signature

1694 MADISON AVE atp 14G
Address

10029 n.y n.y.
City, State

Zip Code

Telephone Number